## ORIGINAL



## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CC

1 COMMISSIONERS 2 DOUG LITTLE- Chairman BOB STUMP 3 **BOB BURNS** TOM FORESE 4 ANDY TOBIN 5 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 6 ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING 8 PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP

SUCH RETURN.

RECEIVED

2016 MAR -7 P 4: 35

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET NO. \$201345 A-91-0224

STAFF'S RESPONSE TO THE ENERGY FREEDOM COALITION OF AMERICA'S MOTION FOR PROCEDURAL **CONFERNCE** 

## I. Introduction.

On January 15, 2016, Arizona Public Service Company ("APS" or "Company") filed an application for approval of an adjustment to its Lost Fixed Cost Recovery Mechanism ("LFCR") as provided in Decision No. 73183. The Energy Freedom Coalition of America ("EFCA") filed a Motion requesting that the Commission stay further processing of APS's application until the Arizona Supreme Court concludes its review of the issues raised in the RUCO case<sup>1</sup> now before it. EFCA also requests that the Commission hold a hearing on the factual and legal issues identified in its February 24, 2016 filing.

Staff opposes EFCA's request for a stay of APS's application. Unlike the SIB, the LFCR is a rate design mechanism. Staff also believes that EFCA's request for a hearing is unwarranted because the alleged factual issues EFCA identifies seem more conducive to resolution through discovery than a full blown evidentiary hearing. Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETER

MAR 0 7 2016

**DOCKETED BY** 

28

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Residential Utility Consumer Office v. Arizona Corporation Commission, 238 Ariz. 8, 355 P.3d 610 (App. 2015), review granted February 9, 2016 ("RUCO").

## II. Discussion.

The LFCR mechanism is a rate design mechanism developed to ensure that the Company recovers a portion of its authorized fixed costs which it would otherwise not recover because of certain Commission policies which have the effect of lowering kWh consumption by customers, i.e., DG and EE. It does not implicate fair value considerations because it is a type of rate design mechanism intended to assist in the recovery of a previously authorized revenue requirement. There are also strict limits on the amount that is subject to the mechanism each year. The SIB, on the other hand, is a step increase mechanism in which a Company can obtain rate recognition of a narrowly defined category of replacement plant between rate cases in order to maintain adequate and reliable service to existing customers. The fact that the LFCR is a rate design apparatus sets it apart and outside of the *RUCO* case, in Staff's opinion. Therefore, to stay further processing of APS's application is unwarranted.

In its motion, EFCA has also identified several factual issues which it claims are in dispute, and in need of a hearing, including a) what documentation is required to justify approval of the LFCR increase, b) is APS's calculation used in support of its Application accurate, and c) how much money has been collected to date under the LFCR. EFCA states that there may be other issues as well that would be appropriate to explore in a hearing; but no additional factual issues have been identified to-date.

Staff believes that the alleged factual issues raised by EFCA are conducive to resolution through means other than a full blown evidentiary hearing. It would be helpful to Staff for EFCA to respond to these comments and demonstrate why a hearing is necessary on the factual issues raised as opposed to further discovery.<sup>2</sup> Staff does not oppose a procedural conference to discuss the EFCA's alleged factual issues, but believes the ALJ would have a better basis for a decision if EFCA first used other means available to resolve its issues, if it has not, including discovery and then presented

<sup>28 2</sup> Staff has submitted a data request to the Company regarding this matter.

its case to the ALJ. A response by EFCA to Staff's comments would be helpful to Staff in making 1 2 any further recommendations on EFCA's requests. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 7th day of March 2016. 3 4 5 Maureen A. Scott, Senior Staff Counse 6 Charles H. Hains, Attorney Janet Wagner, Assistant Chief Counsel 7 Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 8 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 9 (602) 542-3402 10 Original and thirteen (13) copies 11 of the foregoing filed this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March 2016 with: 12 Docket Control 13 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 14 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 15 Copies of the foregoing mailed this 8<sup>th</sup> day of March 2016 to: 16 Michael A. Curtis Melissa M. Krueger 17 William P. Sullivan Thomas L. Mumaw Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan, Thomas A. Loquvam 18 Pinnacle West Capital Corporation 400 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street, MS 8695 Udall & Schwab, PLC 501 East Thomas Road 19 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for the Town of Wickenburg and Attorneys for Arizona Public Service 20 Town of Gilbert Company 21 Timothy M. Hogan C. Webb Crockett Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest Patrick J. Black 22 514 W. Roosevelt Fennemore Craig, PC Phoenix, Arizona 85003 2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600 23 Attorneys for WRA, SWEEP, ASBA/AASBO Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Attorneys for Freeport-McMoRan and 24 Jeff Schlegel Arizonans for Electric Choice and SWEEP Arizona Representative Competition (AECC) 25 1167 West Samalayuca Drive Tucson, Arizona 85704-3224 Daniel W. Pozefsky, Chief Counsel 26 Residential Utility Consumer Office David Berry 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Western Resource Advocates 27 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Post Office Box 1064 Attorneys for RUCO 28 Scottsdale, Arizona 85252-1064

l l		
1	Barbara Wyllie-Pecora	Greg Patterson, Of Counsel
ļ	14410 West Gunsight Drive	Munger Chadwick
2	Sun City West, Arizona 85375	2398 East Camelback Road, Suite 240
_		Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Attorneys for Arizona Competitive Power
3	Kurt Boehm	Alliance
4	Jody M. Kyler	Amanee
4	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510	Nicholas J. Enoch
5	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	Jarrett J. Haskovec
7	Attorneys for The Kroger Co.	Lubin & Enoch, PC
6		349 North Fourth Avenue
	Jeffrey W. Crockett, Esq.	Phoenix, Arizona 85003
7	Crockett Law Group, PLLC	Attorneys for IBEW Locals 387, 640 & 769
	2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85016	Post Office Box 1448
9	Attorneys for Arizona Association of Realtors	Tubac, Arizona 85646
9	Michael W. Patten	Attorney for Southwestern Power Group II,
10	Snell & Wilmer LLP	LLC; Bowie Power Station, LLC; Noble
10	One Arizona Center	Americas Energy Solutions LLC;
11	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 1900	Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.; Direct
	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Energy, LLC and Shell Energy North
12	Attorneys for Tucson Electric Power Company	America (US), LP
1.2	Duadlar C. Camall	Jay I. Moyes
13	Bradley S. Carroll Tucson Electric Power Company	Steve Wene
14	88 E. Broadway Blvd., MS HQE910	Moyes Sellers & Hendricks
11	Tucson, Arizona 85701	1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100
15	,	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
	Cynthia Zwick	Attorneys for AzAg Group
16	1940 East Luke Avenue	Leffrey I Woner
1.5	Phoenix, Arizona 85016	Jeffrey J. Woner K.R. Saline & Assoc., PLC
17	Jennifer A. Cranston	160 North Pasadena, Suite 101
18	Gallagher & Kennedy, PA	Mesa, Arizona 85201
10	2575 East Camelback Road, Suite 1100	· ,
19	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225	Scott S. Wakefield
	Attorneys for AIC	Ridenour, Hienton & Lewis, PLLC
20	0.070	201 North Central Avenue, Suite 3300
	Gary Yaquinto, President & CEO	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1052 Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
21	Arizona Investment Council	Audilieys for war-wart stores, me.
22	2100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210	Steve W. Chriss
22	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis
23	Karen S. White	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
23	Samuel T. Miller	2011 S.E. 10 <sup>th</sup> Street
24	Air Force Utility Law Field Support Center	Bentonville, Arkansas 72716-0550
	AFLOA/JACL-ULFSC	
25	139 Barnes Drive	Craig A. Marks
	Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403	Craig A. Marks, PLC 10645 North Tatum Boulevard
26		Suite 200-676
27		Phoenix, Arizona 85028
27		Attorney for AARP

1	John Moore, Jr. 7321 North 16 <sup>th</sup> Street
2	Phoenix, Arizona 85020
3	Douglas V. Fant Law Offices of Douglas V. Fant
4	3655 West Anthem Way Suite A-109, PMB 411
5	Anthem, Arizona 85086
6	Amanda Ormond Southwest Representative
7	Interwest Energy Alliance 7650 South McClintock Drive
8	Suite 103-282
9	Tempe, Arizona 85284
10	Travis Ritchie Nellis Kennedy-Howard
11	Sierra Club Environmental Law Program 85 Second Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor
12	San Francisco, California 94105
13	Safeway, Inc. Attn: Lissa Maldonado-Kiser
14	Senior Corporate Counsel 5918 Stoneridge Mall Road
15	Pleasanton, California 94588
16	Robert J. Metli Munger Chadwick, PLC
17	2398 East Camelback Road Suite 240
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Attorneys for Safeway, Inc.
19	Meghan Grabel
20	Osborn Maledon, PA 2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Arizona Investment Council
22	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$
23	Payre Chusting
24	`
25	
26	
27	
	II